IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)) 8:07MJ111)
Plaintiff,		
vs.) DETENTION ORDER
BERNARDINO V	ALDIVIEZO-RODRIGUEZ,	<i>,</i>)
ı	Defendant.	'
Act on July 12	ing a detention hearing pursuar	nt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform bove-named defendant detained pursuant
The Court ord X By a conditi X By clea	ons will reasonably assure the arrand convincing evidence that	
which was co _X (1) No _X (2) The control of the	ndings are based on the evider ontained in the Pretrial Services ature and circumstances of the (a) The crime: a conspiracy distribute marihuana in maximum sentence of two (b) The offense is a crime of (c) The offense involves a natural of the offense involves a large weight of the evidence againe history and characteristics of (a) General Factors: The defendant a may affect wheth The defendant has The defendant has The defendant of ties. Past conduct of the defendant has T	to distribute and possess with intent to violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 carries a enty years imprisonment. violence - arcotic drug. ge amount of controlled substances, to with the defendant is high. In the defendant including: spears to have a mental condition which her the defendant will appear. The as no family ties in the area. The as no substantial financial resources. The area on the substantial financial resources. The substantial financial resources onthe and substantial financial community.
	The defendant I court proceeding (b) At the time of the current Probation Parole	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2 Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. (c) Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. X Other: There is an outstanding arrest warrant in the State of California. X (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: the nature of the charges in the Complaint. X (5) Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: X (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or Χ (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: Χ (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more. (2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

weapon or device).

relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: July 12, 2007. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge